

AIR QUALITY MONITORING MONTHLY MONITORING REPORT FEBRUARY 2018

CARNWATH ROAD RIVERSIDE (CARRR)

i

TIDEWAY

AIR QUALITY MONITORING MONTHLY MONITORING REPORT

CARNWATH ROAD RIVERSIDE (CARRR)

List of Contents

1	Introd	Introduction4					
	1.1	Monitoring Period	4				
	1.2	Monitoring Methods	4				
	1.3	Monitoring Locations	4				
2	Osiris	Monitoring Results	5				
	2.1	Results Summary	5				
	2.2	Results Discussion	7				
	2.3	Alert Level Exceedances	7				
3		Ionitoring Results	9				
	3.1	Results Summary	9				
4	Secondary Data						
Арре	endix A	1	3				
Арре	endix B	1	5				

List of Tables

Table 1: Osiris Unit Air Quality Monitoring Results: February 2018	5
Table 2: PM10 Alert Level Exceedance Details	7
Table 4: Measured PM ₁₀ and NO ₂ Concentrations – February 2018	9

List of Figures

Figure	1 Graph	of 15-min	PM ₁₀	Concentrations	at CARRR/A	A/1: February	2018	. 5
Figure	2 Graph	of 15-min	PM ₁₀	Concentrations	at CARRR/A	A/2: February	2018	. 6
Figure	3 Graph	of 15-min	PM ₁₀	Concentrations	at CARRR/A	A/3: February	2018	. 6

Figure 4 Graph of 15-min PM_{10} Concentrations at CARRR/AA/5: February 20187
Figure 5 Graph of 24-hour mean PM_{10} (µg/m ³) at Philpot Square: February 2018
Figure 6 Graph of 1-hour mean NO ₂ (μ g/m ³) at Philpot Square: February 2018
Figure 7 Graph of 24-hour mean PM_{10} (µg/m ³) at Wandsworth Putney: February 201810
Figure 8 Graph of 1-hour mean NO ₂ (µg/m ³) at Wandsworth Putney: February 201811

1 Introduction

1.1 Monitoring Period

1.1.1 This report covers data captured by the air quality monitors at Carnwath Road Riverside (CARRR) during February 2018.

1.2 Monitoring Methods

1.2.1 Monitoring is undertaken using Osiris instruments to measure concentrations of particulate matter, accompanied by a continuous monitoring unit (CMU) that contains equipment to measure particulate matter and nitrogen dioxide (NO₂).

Osiris Instruments

- 1.2.2 The Osiris instruments measure concentrations of Total Suspended Particles (TSP); particulate matter less than 10 micrometres in aerodynamic diameter, which is known as 'PM₁₀'.
- 1.2.3 The monitoring is continuous, operating 24 hours a day, 7 days a week.
- 1.2.4 Where data capture is less than 90%, an explanation of the reason for the low data capture is provided.
- 1.2.5 Further details of the Osiris monitoring method are described in Appendix A.

Continuous Monitoring Unit (CMU)

- 1.2.6 In addition to the Osiris instruments, air quality monitoring is undertaken using a continuous monitoring unit (CMU) located on the corner of Philpot Square.
- 1.2.7 This CMU contains two monitors; a TEOM-FDMS monitor to measure PM₁₀ concentrations, and a Thermo-42i chemiluminescent analyser to measure nitrogen dioxide concentrations.

1.3 Monitoring Locations

- 1.3.1 There are four Osiris monitors installed at CARRR and one CMU installed on the corner of Philpot Square, the locations of which are shown in Appendix B.
- 1.3.2 The locations have been agreed with the local planning authority, the London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham (LBHF).

2 Osiris Monitoring Results

2.1 Results Summary


2.1.1 The measured concentrations recorded by the Osiris instruments located at Carnwath Road are shown in the Table 1 and Figures 1, 2, 3 and 4 below.

Table 1: Osiris Unit Air Quality Monitoring Results: February 2018

Osiris Monitor ID	Average PM ₁₀ Concentration (µg/m ³)	Data Capture (%)	Number of Exceedances of the 15-Minute PM ₁₀ Alert Level of 250 µg/m ³ .	
CARRR/AA/1	11.2	100	0	
CARRR/AA/2	15.3	100	2	
CARRR/AA/3	12.6	100	1	
CARRR/AA/5 ª	9.8	97.0	1	

^a Data capture at CARRR/AA/5 was 97.0% due to temporary power loss.

Figure 1 Graph of 15-min PM₁₀ Concentrations at CARRR/AA/1: February 2018







Figure 2 Graph of 15-min PM₁₀ Concentrations at CARRR/AA/2: February 2018

Figure 3 Graph of 15-min PM₁₀ Concentrations at CARRR/AA/3: February 2018






Figure 4 Graph of 15-min PM₁₀ Concentrations at CARRR/AA/5: February 2018

2.2 Results Discussion

- 2.2.1 The key observations in relation to the measured concentrations of PM₁₀ are summarised below:
- 2.2.2 PM₁₀:
 - Data capture for the month was 100% at sites CARRR/AA/1, CARRR/AA/2 and CARRR/AA/3 Data capture was 97.0% at CARRR/AA/5 due to temporary power loss;
 - There were two exceedances of the 15-minute PM_{10} alert level of 250 $\mu g/m^3$ at CARRR/AA/2;
 - There was one exceedance of the 15-minute PM_{10} alert level of 250 $\mu g/m^3$ at CARRR/AA/3; and
 - There was one exceedance of the 15-minute PM_{10} alert level of 250 $\mu g/m^3$ at CARRR/AA/5.

2.3 Alert Level Exceedances

2.3.1 There were two exceedances of the 15-minute PM_{10} alert level of 250 µg/m³, recorded at Osiris monitor CARRR/AA/2, one at monitor CARRR/AA/3 and one at monitor CARRR/AA5. A summary of this occurrence is shown in Table 2 below.

Alert ID	Osiris Monitor Location	Date	Time	PM ₁₀ Conc. (μg/m ³)	Source of dust
CARRR/AA/2_ W8_1	AA2	23/02/201 8	11:30	1014.9	No significant works occurring in this area of site at the time of the exceedances.

 Table 2: PM₁₀ Alert Level Exceedance Details

CARRR/AA/2_ W8_2	AA2	23/02/201 8	11:45	1512.2	Exceedance believed to have been caused by a vehicle not related to Tideway idling off-site.
CARRR/AA/3_ W6_1	AA3	05/02/201 8	16:45	277.4	Site activities at the time closest to the monitor was tidying (which included sweeping) of the visitor centre prior area to removal of the cabins from site.
CARRR/AA/5_ W7_1	AA5	16/02/201 8	12:45	280.4	Operatives were raising the sheet piles after concreting had been completed, to prevent them from becoming stuck once the concrete had cured. On completion of the lifting operation, wedges (metal and/or wood) were being banged in between the pile and the dividing wall between the blocks to keep the piles in place. This activity was damped down going forwards.

2.3.2 On each occasion where the alert level was exceeded, an investigation was carried out to determine the potential source(s) of dust which may be causing the high PM₁₀ levels. A summary of the identified potential sources of dust and the action taken to remediate these sources are provided in Table 3 above.

3 CMU Monitoring Results

3.1 Results Summary

- 3.1.1 Summary statistics from the CMU on the corner of Philpot Square are shown in Table 3, Figure 5 and Figure 6.
- 3.1.2 The data capture for PM_{10} was 85.7%. This was due to a fault on the PM_{10} monitor. This was fixed on the 5th February 2018.

Table 3: Measured PM₁₀ and NO₂ Concentrations – February 2018

Site	Statistic	PM 10	NO ₂
CARRR/CM/1	Period Data Capture (%)	85.7	100.0
	Period mean (µg/m ³)	28.5	36.2

Figure 5 Graph of 24-hour mean PM₁₀ (µg/m³) at Philpot Square: February 2018






Figure 6 Graph of 1-hour mean NO₂ (µg/m³) at Philpot Square: February 2018



4 Secondary Data

- 4.1.1 Monitoring data for PM₁₀ and nitrogen dioxide are available from the nearby Wandsworth Putney air quality monitoring station. This station is operated by Kings College London as part of the London Air Quality Network (LAQN), which is the major centralised air quality network in London.
- 4.1.2 Results of PM₁₀ monitoring at Wandsworth Putney for February 2018 are shown in Figure 7 below. Results of nitrogen dioxide monitoring are shown in Figure 8.

Figure 7 Graph of 24-hour mean PM_{10} (µg/m³) at Wandsworth Putney: February 2018



4.1.3 The average PM₁₀ concentration at Wandsworth Putney during this period was 18.2 μg/m³, which was lower than the average concentrations at Carnwath Road set out in Table 3. Figure 7 shows two peaks in 24-hour mean concentrations on the 17th and on the 21st of February, which reflects trends observed at the Philpot Square CMU. This trend is part of a broader episode of high PM₁₀ observed towards the end of February by the LAQN.




Figure 8 Graph of 1-hour mean NO₂ (µg/m³) at Wandsworth Putney: February 2018

4.2 The average NO₂ concentration at Wandsworth Putney during this period was 41.4 μ g/m³, which was higher than the average concentration at the Philpot Square CMU set out in Table 3. The NO₂ concentrations plotted in Figure 8 show a lack of discernible trends, which is consistent with observations at the CMU at Philpot Square.

Appendices

Appendix /	۹	13
A.1	Air Quality Monitoring Methodology - Osiris	13
A.2	Alert Levels	13
A.3	Air Quality Monitoring Methodology - CMU	14
Appendix I	3	15
B.1	Air Quality Monitoring Locations	15

List of figures

Figure B.1	Osiris Air Quality Monitor	or Location Plan	.16
------------	----------------------------	------------------	-----

Appendix A

A.1 Air Quality Monitoring Methodology - Osiris

- A.1.1 The Osiris air quality monitoring units installed at CARRR measure concentrations of particulate matter with a diameter of less than 10 micrometres, known as PM₁₀.
- A.1.2 The Osiris monitors are operated continuously and work by pumping air through a heated inlet to remove moisture, and past an optical sensor which measures the concentration of PM₁₀ in the sampled air.
- A.1.3 The Osiris monitors are set up to record average PM₁₀ measurements every 15 minutes, which are sent via a mobile connection to an online database which stores the recorded data. Concentrations are recorded in micrograms of PM₁₀ per cubic metre of air (µg/m³).
- A.1.4 One of the Osiris monitors at CARRR is connected to a wind sensor which records local wind speed and direction. This is to help identify possible sources of any high PM₁₀ measurements which are recorded.
- A.1.5 The Osiris monitors are all subject to the following routine checks and maintenance:
 - Daily online checks of monitoring data and power connection;
 - Quarterly on-site calibration checks; and
 - Annual monitor servicing.
- A.1.6 It is desirable to achieve over 90% successful data capture on the Osiris monitors. An overall data capture rate of 100% is ideal; however, best practice guidance acknowledges that monitoring methods such as the Osiris can be prone to occasional power losses, communication errors and erroneous readings, which result in data capture lower than 100%. Successful data capture greater than 90% represents a high performance with no devaluation of the monitoring results. Where data capture is less than 90% in any monitoring period, justification as to the reasons for the low data capture are to be provided.

A.2 Alert Levels

- A.2.1 The Osiris monitors are set up so that they send an automated alert message to CARRR site management, environmental managers and air quality specialists if 15-minute PM₁₀ concentrations exceed a set level known as an 'alert level'.
- A.2.2 The purpose of the alert level is to provide a warning of unusually high concentrations of PM₁₀, which may be an indication that dust is being produced by site works, but might also indicate other causes such as regional dust episodes (e.g. Saharan dust clouds) and other local dust and PM₁₀ sources such as road traffic, roadworks and utility works, bonfires, or adjacent construction sites. Dry and windy weather conditions are often the cause of high dust and PM₁₀ levels.

A.2.3 When an alert level message is received, it is immediately investigated. If site works are identified as a possible contributory factor in the high PM₁₀ levels, then remedial action is taken. This might include using additional dust mitigation measures, relocating or stopping the dusty activity, or completely stopping works.

A.3 Air Quality Monitoring Methodology - CMU

- A.3.1 The CMU installed at Philpot Square measures concentrations of particulate matter with a diameter of less than 10 micrometres, known as PM₁₀.
- A.3.2 Particulate matter is measured by a TEOM-FDMS analyser which operates by drawing air through a permeation dryer and then into a sensor unit where particulate matter is collected and weighed. Auxiliary air is directed through a purge filter, and then to the sensor unit to provide a 'reference' measurement. This allows the monitor to account for volatile particulates.
- A.3.3 Nitrogen dioxide concentrations are measured by a Thermo 42i chemiluminescent analyser. This operates by converting nitrogen dioxide to nitric oxide and measuring the resulting infrared light emissions to determine concentrations in the airflow.
- A.3.4 The TEOM-FDMS and Thermo 42i chemiluminescent analysers are set up to record average PM_{10} and nitrogen dioxide measurements every 15 minutes, which are sent via a mobile connection to an online database which stores the recorded data. Concentrations are recorded in micrograms of per cubic metre of air (μ g/m³).

Appendix B

B.1 Air Quality Monitoring Locations

- B.1.1 There are five Osiris monitors installed at CARRR, in the following locations:
 - CARRR/AA/1: Osiris monitor fitted to a lamppost on Peterborough Road. An anemometer (wind speed and direction sensor) is attached to this monitor.
 - CARRR/AA/2: Osiris monitor fitted to a lamppost on Carnwath Road.
 - CARRR/AA/3: Osiris monitor fitted to a lamppost on the redirected Thames Path west of the CARRR site.
 - CARRR/AA/5: Osiris monitor fitted to hoarding adjacent to works at River Wall 922.
- B.1.2 The figure below shows the locations of the five Osiris monitors at CARRR (CARRR/AA/1, CARRR/AA/2, CARRR/AA/3 and CARRR/AA/5).
- B.1.3 The location of the Continuous Monitoring Unit (CMU) at Philpot Square is shown as CARRR/CM/1.

